

A
L E T T E R
TO
TOBIAS SMOLLET, M. D.
OCCASIONED
By his CRITICISM

Upon a late
Translation of *TIBULLUS*.

By Dr. GRAINGER. K

Whoever he be, tho' this to some may seem a slight Contest, I shall yet continue to think that Man full of other secret Injustice, and deceitful Pride, who shall offer in public to assume the Skill, tho' it be but of a Tongue which he hath not, and would catch his Readers to believe of his Ability, that which is not in him.

MILTON.

L O N D O N:

Sold by T. KINNERSLY, in St. Paul's Church-yard.
M.DCC.LIX.

[Price 6 d.]

THE STATE OF

TO

ALL WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME

IN CONNECTION WITH

THE MATTER OF

THE ESTATE OF

JOHN J. BROWN

DECEASED

VS.

THE ESTATE OF

JOHN J. BROWN

DECEASED

VS.

THE ESTATE OF

JOHN J. BROWN

DECEASED

A
L E T T E R
T O
TOBIAS SMOLLET, *M. D.*



S I R,

AS no Man can expect any very extensive Share of Literary Fame, solely, from the Applause of his Friends; so neither, on the other Hand, with the intelligent and impartial, has he much to apprehend, from the pointless Sneers, and malevolent Attacks of his Enemies. But, as there are many Readers who seldom venture to judge for themselves, or, even, to peruse a Work until they are informed of its Character; for the Benefit of such, I have thought it necessary, to point out some remarkable Instances of your Candour, Taste, and profound Erudition in the Account you have given of my Version of *Tibullus*. By this Discussion, I shall only illustrate, but cannot hope to add any Thing to your Reputation as a Critic; your unwarped Integrity, Good-nature, Politeness, and delicate Allusions, but above all your Talent of as seldom judging truly of what you do read, as of reading what you pretend to judge, are already sufficiently known. For, indeed, next to *Zoilus*, you Dr. *Tobias Smollet* are allowed to be the greatest of Critics.

A

Were

Were I to indulge myself in any Range, so innumerable are the Instances to be culled out of your Part of the *Critical Review*, in Proof of this general Opinion, that

To tell them would a Hundred Tongues require,
Or one vain Wits that would a Hundred tire.

I shall therefore confine myself simply to your Remarks on my Translation of *Tibullus*. It happens indeed, luckily for me, that this Article of Half a Sheet is as replete with Erudition, Good-manners, and exquisite Taste, as any of the same Size in your *Annals* of Literature.

I shall wave all Cavils on your Account of the general Character of *Tibullus*; and pass over the Muster-roll of Critics, whom you have pompously cited in his Favour, many of whose Writings I know you never saw, having been indebted for their very Names to *Broekhusius*, whom you so much affect to despise. Neither shall I enter into any Discussions with you, on the Reasons which induced me to prefer the *Heroic Measure* to the *Alternate Stanza*; but shall faithfully accompany you through all your other Remarks. This, indeed, is not the pleasing Office of tracing a candid Observer through the agreeable Intricacies of manly Criticism: No, Sir, it is Justice, disagreeably obliged, to expose your Ignorance and Malignity to the Contempt of Mankind.

“ The Doctor (the Words are yours) has pre-
“ fixed to the Work a Life of *Tibullus*, gleaned from
“ his *Writings*; in which Life we find very little
“ either to inform, interest, or amuse the Reader.”

In this, perhaps, you may have stumbled on the Truth, the Lives of polite Scholars, who affect Solitude, affording, in general, very little, that is either amusing or instructive. Yet, before you had passed this Sentence on that Part of my Work, was it not incumbent upon any other but a Critic of your Stamp,

Stamp, to have shewn, either, that a better Life of *Tibullus* had already been published; or that the Materials which I had taken Pains to glean, *not from his own Writings only*, but also from the Works of others, in more judicious Hands would have produced a Life of greater Information? Self-praise, however agreeable, is the most awkward Kind of Flattery, yet, as you, modest Sir, have so amply authorized the Practice by your own *Example*; I will venture to affirm, that none of the old Lives of *Tibullus*, nor even those written by the Learned Dr. *Crusius*, or Mr. *Dart*, are so exact, or interesting, as that, which I have given to the Public.

“ Nay, add you, the Author will not allow him
 “ ever to have been *poor*, although, He himself
 “ expressly tells us so in these Words,

Me, mea PAUPER TAS vite traducat inerti.

“ And describes Himself, on many Occasions, as a
 “ Person *reduced to the Condition of an indigent*
 “ *Husbandman*. His Reasons for believing *Tibullus*
 “ was not poor, are *curious and singular*. He was
 “ *of Equestrian Order, and patronized by Messala.*”

Altho', neither Riches, nor Poverty, should influence us in our Opinions of Men; yet some Biographers, as well as others, having asserted, that our Roman Knight was, by his Debaucheries, reduced to Indigence; a Regard to his Memory did oblige me to take some Pains to prove from his own Works, and those of others, that the Diminution of his Fortune, which he now and then complains of, was, indeed, an Honour to him; having been, chiefly, occasioned by his Adherence to what he judged to be the true Interest of his Country. But notwithstanding his vast paternal Estate was thus gloriously impaired, it appears, from many * Passages in

* Vid. the Life.

his Poems, and also from *Horace*, who expressly says to him,

Di tibi DIVITIAS dederunt, artemq; fruendi,

that *Tibullus* was never indigent. To these Proofs, which to most other People would have appeared abundantly cogent, but which you have very honestly passed over, I did add, by Way of Collateral Evidence, that the rich and beneficent *Messala* would not have suffered so fine a Genius, and one whom he regarded so much as *Tibullus*, to have languished in Want. To disprove which, you subjoin, “ But if
“ the Doctor had the Curiosity to examine the Inhabitants of the *Fleet*, and the *King’s Bench* Prisons,
“ he would find among them diverse Individuals of
“ *Equestrian Dignity*, and even some *Wits* that are
“ patronized by *Ministers*. *Cervantes* was in high
“ Reputation with the *Ministers* of *Philip* the Third
“ of *Spain*, when he died a *Beggar* at *Madrid*. We
“ might instance one of the first *Geniuses* of the
“ Age, whom we knew almost *starving* amidst the
“ *Caresses* of the *Great*.”

I must own, indeed, that I never was in either of these *Prisons*; yet I must have been wholly unacquainted with Life, not to have known, that both *Wits*, and Men of *Equestrian Dignity*, are, many Times, pent up in those Regions of Wretchedness. But, Sir, before this melancholy Truth could have proved any Thing to your Purpose, you ought to have shewn, that the Connexion between *Cervantes* and the *Spanish* Ministers; and between the *English* Nobility and your great *Genius*, was of the same Nature, as that which cemented our Poet, and his generous Patron. Nay, allowing the full Force of your Objection, you have not yet invalidated my Arguments; for, at the Time, *Tibullus* wrote, *PAUPERTAS*, the Word on which you lay your chief Stress, did often signify Mediocrity of Fortune, not Indigence,

Indigence ; and in that first Sense, it must be taken, in the Line you have quoted, as my Note on it sufficiently proves. Besides, ought not Experience to have informed you, that Indigence will not admit of the *Vita iners* ?

But, to cut this Controversy short ; any *Westminster* Boy in the third Form could have told you, that “ *No Person could enjoy the Equestrian Privileges at Rome, whose Estate did not, at least, amount to 3000 l. Sterling.*” A Sum, which I presume, you will allow sufficient to raise a Philosophical Man, greatly above the *Condition of an indigent Husbandman.*

And now, most singular Critic ! what have you to alledge in Defence of your Learning ? You, who have so generously *tasked* * *your universally acknowledged Abilities*, (as you modestly express it) *to revive the true Spirit of Criticism, and vindicate the Cause of Literature from wretched Hirelings, without Talent, Candour, Spirit and Circumspection.* But this Instance of your profound Knowledge in literary Matters, tho’ *curious*, is not *singular* in one who metamorphosed a petrified Embryo into an eminent Writer on Midwifry. Many other Proofs of your profound Erudition shall be given in the Sequel.

§ 2. By this Time, I fancy, good Dr. *Tobias*, that little Ceremony is required between us. I shall, therefore, examine those Specimens you have produced in Proof of my not having found it “ an easy Task to render *Tibullus* into elegant and harmonious Numbers.” Who that knows our Poet ever imagined it to be an easy Task ? Indeed Sir, whatever Inducements I had to translate this Author, I found it extremely difficult barely to do him Justice. But to our Examen. Your first Specimen is,

* *Vid.* Plan of the *C. Review.*

“ These Vulturs tear the Bow’ls, and drink the
“ Gore.”

Altho’ you have not * quoted my Line fairly, I must confess that the one you should have quoted, is not unexceptionable: But that the Story there alluded to, “ should be mistaken, as you wittily query, for a Scene like that of the *Bloody Bowl*, “ in *Hanging Sword Alley*,” is what, those who are not endowed with your Conundrum Genius, will never be able to comprehend.

“ Naked thou † *stands*, expos’d to wintery Snows!
“ Naked thou *stands*, when fervid Sirius glows!”

“ This Repetition, observe you, of *stands* for “ *stand’st*, is a double Solecism *notwithstanding*.”

Suppose now, you had imputed this double Solecism, as you term it, to an Error of the Press? You, Sir, who have had so much to do with that Engine of Literature, must know, from many Years Experience, that no Accuracy can secure a Writer from its Mistakes. But, as this was an Indulgence I could not expect from you, I rather chuse to refer you to the ERRATA, where p. 46. you will find your *double Solecism* properly corrected.

What now, most candid Sir, is the Reader to think of your solemn Professions, *never to condemn, or extol, without having first CAREFULLY perused the § Performance*. But I forget, — How the other

* We will not misquote the Words of any Author, who may fall under our Inspection. *Vid.* Plan of the *C. Review*.

† Nudus et hybernæ producis frigora Brumæ,
Nudus et æstivi tempora sicca Canis.

TIBULL.

§ This accurate Critic in his Account of a late ingenious Treatise on Health, arraigns the Author for not having taken Notice of Dr. *Armstrong’s* Poem on that Subject: A Blunder it is plain, he could not have fallen into, had he read the *Book*, as Dr. *Mackenzie* does ample Justice to the Merits of that elegant Performance.

Members of your Society of Gentlemen Critics may have acted in this Respect, I shall not at present point out; you Sir, it is obvious, have long since shewed the Town, that you regard these *solemn Professions* as Words of Course. To peruse a new Work with Accuracy, so as to give the Public a just Character of it, does, it must be owned, require some Time, of which, those must be very thrifty, whose daily Bread depends on writing by the Hour Glass. You, Dr. *Tobias*, in particular, are so sensible of the Necessity of being an Œconomist of Time, that, tho' the continuing your Review, affords a monthly Opportunity of discharging on Paper not a little of your superabundant Good-nature; and obliges several Writers to pay you Tribute, somewhat like that, which was formerly paid by the more pacific *Highlanders*, to some ferocious Chieftain; yet, as the Work itself contributes little or nothing toward the heavy Article of House-keeping, so sick are you grown of it, that it can be proved, "*Authors have been solicited to send Characters of their own Works, which doubtless not a few have complied with, to be inserted in your immortal Annals of Literature.*" Let the World then judge, what Impartiality is to be expected from a Work patched up in this Manner! and how far such a *Reservoir*, as in the Plan you are pleased to call your Review, will dignify the liberal Arts, and contribute toward the Formation of a public Taste.

"How soon the * Steed to Age's Stiffness yields!
 "So late a Victor in th' Olympic Fields!"

* Quam jacet infirmæ VENERE ubi fata Senectæ!
 Qui prius Eleo carcere missus equus.

TIBULL.

Altho' the Object here described did require a correspondent *Tardiness* in the Expression; I will not take upon me to assert, that, even in this mechanical Respect, it equals the original. Yet, this, most delicate-eared Dr. I will venture to say, that, had I ever published such a Couplet as the following one of yours, which you very generously tender me in Lieu of one of * mine, my whole Version ought to be burnt by the common Hangman of *Apollo*. Your Couplet is,

“ *The Boys, and Youths, in Crowds around him
“ throng :”*

This, no Doubt, is spirited, flowing, and beautifully circumstantial! But then, in crawls a wounded Snake, whose slow Length you have humanely hacked into sixteen good Pieces.

“ *Each consecrates himself with Spittle, as the
“ Spectre moves along.”*

This truly *Smolletian* Couplet, and above all, this *Spectre* of a Line, more than consecrates my Lines,

* My Couplet is,

At such preposterous Love, each School-Boy sneers,
SHUNS AS AN OMEN; or pursues with Fleers.

Which in the Original runs thus:

Hunc puer, hunc juvenis arta circumferit turba;

DESPUIT IN MOLLES, ET SIBI QUISQUE SINUS.

Now, DESPUIT not being to be rendered literally into elegant *English*, I paraphrased it in my Version, but explained its literal Meaning in the following Note. Spitting, the Ceremony used in the Text, was supposed a preservative against bad Omens, and is a gentler Method, than those prescribed by the profound Authors of the 15th and 16th Centuries, as Charms against Witchcraft, which was to give a Gash with a Knife, on any Part of the Face, above the Organs of Respiration. But how elegantly has my *Labio* of a Corrector introduced *Spittle* into his Couplet, and how wonderfully has he metamorphosed an *old Man in Love* into a *Spectre*!

“ and

and indeed might consecrate a *Moravian* Hymn, from your Spittle: and shews, that if I write Notes in the *Dutch* Taste, you, Sir, are possessed of the *Batavian* Ear, so much celebrated by *Martial*; and are fully instructed in the Use of your Fingers in Verification.

“ That my Suspicion’s false, ’tis true, she swears;
“ And backs her Imprecations with her Tears.”

After quoting the Original, you add,

“ True it is Pity, Pity ’tis, ’tis true.”

What this may mean, or whether in *Truth* it means any thing, I cannot determine: And yet, methinks, it is a *Pity*, that so *ingenious* an Effort at * *Parody*, the Thing in the World Nature seems to have fitted you the most for, should be so *utterly incomprehensible*. But it is the Misfortune of such *great Wits as you*, not always to level their *Irony* to the Understanding of the Vulgar.

“ Nor yet be chaste from mean *unamorous* Fear.”

It is granted, that the Adjective in Italics is not the literal Meaning of *sevus* in the Original: But it plainly appearing from the Context, that *Tibullus* was desirous his *Mistress* should be faithful to him from *Love*, and not from *Fear*; I did hazard *unamorous*, which nobody who understands *English* can mistake the Meaning of, and no one, that has an Ear the least turned for Verification, will term unharmonious.

* There is not, perhaps, any Species of Writing which requires less Force of Genius, Learning, or Capacity, than *Parody*; the Writer having nothing more to do than to substitute a *ridiculous* Image in the Place of a *grand* or *noble* one, and a *low* and *vulgar* Phrase, or Expression, in the Room of a lofty and poetical one. *C. Review, Vol. 1.*

“ The Floor tread *noiseless*, *noiseless* turn the Key.”

Upon which, you observe, “ better turn the Key,
“ even tho’ the Lock was not *oiled*, than pronounce
“ this Line, enriched with a *new* Word; which,
“ though repeated, we are afraid the Public will re-
“ ject as not *Sterling*.”

What a *Prodigy* of Archness you are, good DR.
TOBY? And how cruel is it in you, thus without
Distinction to play off your *Hypercritical Smartness*?
What have poor *Shakespear* and *Dryden* done to of-
fend your Mightiness?

The first of these Poets expresses himself thus,

——— On our quick’st Decrees,
Th’ inaudible, and *noiseless* Foot of Time
Steals ere we can effect them.

And *Dryden* also, *unfortunately* it seems, makes use of
the same Word.

So *noiseless* would I live, such Death to find,
Like timely Fruit, not shaken by the Wind,
But ripely dropping like the sapless Bough.

And, not to quote others, the elegant and accurate
Mr. *Gray* is so unlucky as to use two your proscribed
Words in one Line *.

They kept the *noiseless* Tencour of their Way.

Hence, it appears, that your Observations with re-
gard to the Word *noiseless*, would be really *new* in
any but such a masterly Critic as you; and will be
received as *Sterling* by none, but your little Band of
implicit Admirers!

“ While clustering Grapes, or Wheat-wreaths
“ round your Hair.”

Whatever Objection a delicate Ear might make to
the Harmony of this Line, you Dr. *Tobias Smollet*,

* *Vid. El. in a Country Church-yard.*

who recommend the following Stanza, in the same Number wherein I am condemned, ought, methinks, to be silent.

Here lies *Ariost. Arabian* Perfumes sweet
 Ye gentle Breezes o'er his Tomb spread round,
 Tomb, to enjoy immortal Honours meet :
 But humble Spot for Body so renown'd !
 With Incense, happy Bones, and Flowers replete,
 May ye remain, ever adorn'd and crown'd,
&c. &c.

But the Translator of *Tibullus* has no Country Seat, some fifty Miles from *London*; and if he had one, has been accustomed to too good Company, ever to dream of entertaining with Claret and Venison, such * *Authorlings* as you know, in their Summer and Holiday Excursions.——And have you still, no *Connexions* to warp your Integrity? No *Prejudices*, to influence your Judgment? Will nothing induce you to part with your Independence? And have you always declared your Thoughts without Prejudice and Affection, forgetting the Author's Person, while his Works fell under your † Consideration. But Dr. you spoke more truly, when you said, you hazarded no Reputation in praising the *English* Translation of § *Ariosto*, as one of the best in our Language.

B 2

“ In

* *Vid.* First Vol. of the *C. Review*.

† *Vid.* Preface to the first Vol. of the *Critical Review*.

§ The OBSERVER OBSERVED, is a mean, and scurrilous Abuse of Mr. *Warton*.—But we will not militate against Nescience. *C. Review*, first Vol. Now the very Author of the *Observer observed*, is another *Spencer*; fights in a polished Suit of *English* Armour; is possessed of a Delicacy peculiar to himself; is a masterly Hand, not only directed by a perfect Knowledge of the Original, but, what is more extraordinary, that Hand is warmed with an enthusiastic Veneration for *Ariosto*. *C. Review*, Vol. 3. What cannot Venison do?

“ In Arms *redoubtable*, let others shine.”

And again,

“ And with Incentives fly, the *Feud* supplies.”

Upon these Lines you observe, with your *usual Knowledge* in Philology, “ *Redoubtable* is French, and “ *Feud* a Scotch Term.”

But alas! most accurate *Word-Genealogist*, you are again out of your Depth. — An Author no less pure than Mr. *Pope* has naturalized * *Redoubtable*: And as to your *Scotch* Term, not only the celebrated *Butler*, but Mr. *Addison* also uses it, both in his *Prose-writings*, and in his *Cato*. In his *Freeholder*, a Work, which one who pretends to write of *English Rebellions* would do well to read, he has this Sentence; “ In former Ages, it was a constant Policy “ of *France*, to raise and cherish intestine FEUDS “ and Discord, in the Isle of *Great Britain*.” And again, in the noble Dramatic Poem above-mentioned, he thus makes *Lucius* speak,

——— *Scythia* mourns

Our guilty Wars, and *Earth's* remotest Regions
Are half unpeopled by the FEUDS of *Rome*.

And now, accurate Dr. are not you of that very Class of Critics, whom you characterize as *ferocious Hussars, skirmishing on the Skirts of Dulness, and her Phalanx, who are sudden, rash, impetuous and desperate, slashing away at Random, without Order, Skill, Pity, and Remorse?* †

“ Rise happy Morn, without a Cloud arise!

“ This Morn, *Cornutus* blest his Mother's Eyes.”

* *Vid. Pope's Letters.*

† *C. Review, Vol. 1.*

Now for the very Quintessence of Criticism! "Who
 " would ever dream, that this was intended as a
 " Translation of two Words *venit natalis*? Besides,
 " we apprehend, add you, with a Wagery almost
 " peculiar to yourself, that if *Cornutus* was a good
 " Boy, he might have blessed his Mother's Eyes,
 " aye, and *deserved* her *Blessing*, every Day of the
 " Year, as well as on his Birth-day."

Most excellent Droll! While you thus endeavour
 to raise the Laugh, you only tread in the Footsteps
 of your Predecessors, the *Wittlings* of the *last Age*.
Dryden had wrote in his State of Innocence,

Seraph and Cherub careless of their Charge,
 And wanton in full Ease, now live at large,
 Unguarded leave the Passes of the Sky,
 And all *dissolv'd* in *Hallelujahs* lie.

Upon which last Line, some of the Dr. *Toby Smol-*
lets of that Time observed, that *they had heard of*
Anchovies dissolved in Sauce, but never of an Angel
in Hallelujahs. On this witty Censure the excellent
 Author remarks, "there is SOME DIFFERENCE
 BETWEEN A LAUGHER AND A CRITIC:
 And adds, they might as well have ridiculed my
 Master *Virgil* for

Invadunt *Urbem* somno, vinoq; *sepultam* :

as also Mr. *Cowley* when he writes,

Where their vast Courts the *Mother-waters* keep;
 for if the Mass of Waters be the *Mothers*, then
 their *Daughters* the *little Streams* are bound, in all
 good Manners, to make *Court'sy* to them, and ask
 them *Blessing*." Thus, the Reader may discern, how
 easy it is to turn into Ridicule, the most glowing
 Descriptions, when one is in the Humour of it, 'till
 he *wheezes* again at his own dull Jest. But beautiful
 Imagery strongly painted, will be Poetry still, and
 outlive any petulant Attempt to make it ridiculous.

As

As to the two Adjectives, *untimorous*, and *unco-
vetous*, upon which you have clapped your Inquisi-
torial Seal, Mr. *Johnson*, an unexceptionable Judge,
thus expresses his Opinion of the *English* privative
Particle *Un*,

“ It is placed ALMOST AT WILL, before
“ *Adjectives*, and *Adverbs*.”

On this Occasion, Sir, permit me seriously to
advise you, frequently to consult that noble Work,
the *English Dictionary*, in 2 Vol. Fol. for if you are
still obstinately determined to pass Sentence on Books,
it will save you from a World of *ignorant Criticism*.

As in the Jaundice, all Objects are supposed to
appear yellow; so, we know, the Ear is sometimes so
preter-naturally braced, as to convey, to the com-
mon Sensory, the softest Sounds, *grating harsh Thunder*,
as *Milton* expresses it. And to this disease, however
unfrequent, I have great Reason to believe that you
poor Dr. *Tobias*, are, at Times unfortunately subject.
How else could you have objected to the Versifica-
tion of the following Lines?

“ The Statues of the Gods wept tepid Tears.”

To which you, in the true Spirit of Mr. *Dryden*’s
Anchovy Critic, subjoin, “ *O sad*.” Yet *sad* as it
may be, the Original says neither more nor less.

Et simulacra Deum lacrimas fudisse tepentes.

In one *sad* * *Tenour* my *Existence* flows?

To this Line you do not openly object on Account
of Harmony; you bring a heavier Charge against
it. “ Suppose, say you, this Metaphor was re-
“ ferred to the Examination of another Art, namely,
“ Painting; how would the Artist represent *Ex-
“ istence* flowing in a *sad Tenour*?—As there is

* *Tenour* being derived from the Latin *Tenor*, literally signifies
a Continuance, or constant Course. Vid. *Ainsworth*.

“ no such Figure, nor Sentiment, nor any Thing like
 “ it in the Original, we would advise the Author in
 “ the next Edition, to refer the Thought to the Te-
 “ nour in Music, and write,

“ In one sad Tenor my Affliction blows.”

What a Pity it is, that all this critical Archness should be thrown away?—When you, Sir, can inform me, how *Existence* itself is to be represented on Canvass, perhaps, I may begin to doubt of the Sense of this Line; but even then, you must not expect me, to degrade myself so far, as to adopt your *sagacious* Alteration. To shew you, however, your deep Knowledge in critical Matters, I must observe, that while you ridicule my Line, you likewise unwarily attack no less a Writer than Mr. *Pope*; for that correct Poet has given a Sanction to the Image, in the following Couplet,

Shall Fortune still *in one sad Tenour run*?

And still encrease the Woes so soon begun?

Nor is this Mode of Expression peculiar to the *English* Language, the *Romans* also used it in the *Augustan* Age; thus one of their finest Poets writes,

An gravis INCEPTUM PERAGIT Fortuna
 TENOREM?

And now, Dr. *Toby*, what have you to object to these Authorities?—You see that a sincere Intention to find Fault, is not the sole Quality, of which a *Critic* on the Version of *Tibullus*, should be possessed. —But, since, you have started this Subject, I must observe, that altho’ the Method of reducing Poetical Images to Painting, is an infallible Way of exposing the Incongruity of mixed Metaphors, when drawn from material Objects, yet the Empire of the Pencil over *Composition*, is not so universal, as you, Sir, imagine; for not to plunge you beyond your
 Depth

Depth into this Metaphysical Speculation, you are to know, that abstracted Ideas, (and such an Idea is *Existence*) are not subjected to its mimical Powers.

The Rule, however, being of extensive Utility, let us bring you, Sir, to its critical Examen, and try, whether, even you, with all your Self-sufficiency, are not arraignable for the very *Fault*, of which you accuse others.

You, gravely, take down *Sanadon's Horace* from your Shelf, and finding

Quod si me *lyricis vatibus inferas*
Sublimi feriam sidera vertice

thus translated, Mais si Mecene veut bien me *placer* au rang des poetes liriques, son suffrage me mettra par avance, en possession de l'immortalite; which, after rendering into *English*, as *Perrault* did the Antients, you thus proceed: Is not this changing the *Idea*, and degrading a *Metaphor* of noble *Simplicity*?—And therefore to do Justice to the much injured Poet, you, out of your great Care for his Fame, thus translate the Lines,

But if you *plant* me * among the Lyric Bards
My *verdant Boughs* will stretch aloft to Heaven :

“ This fine Image, continue you, *Sanadon* and
“ his implicit Followers have *sunk* into that of
“ electing a *Country Church-warden*.” But, accurate Translator! have you mended the Matter, to talk to you in your own Way, by making the *Prime Minister* of *Augustus*, a *Country Gardiner*?

After all, let the Reader put this *fine*, this *noble*, this *simple Metaphor* upon Canvass, and then, I presume, our little fat *Venusian Bard*, *planted* among the

* To preserve the Integrity of the Figure, *Horace* should have been *planted* in a Grove, and not among Lyric Poets: But the Truth is, that excellent Bard was too good a Critic to join a Fishes Tail to a Human Head.

Lyric Poets of Greece, and stretching aloft his *verdant Boughs* to Heaven, like *Phaeton's Sisters* in *Ovid*, will make but a ludicrous Figure! Neither would this Image do in *Latin*, more than in *English*. But to put an End to this Subject, I must tell you, that *inferas* in the Original, is derived from *infero, ui, to rank with, or place among, &c.* and not from *infero, insevi, to plant, &c.* as you, to preserve your noble and simple Figure have thought proper to render it. *Sanadon* therefore and his implicit Followers, as you call Mr. Francis, and others, have properly translated * that Passage. How complete a Set of Critical Canons might not be extracted from your *Annals of Literature*? — And will you still not venture to criticise a Translation, without understanding the † Original?

“ The blessed Gods, you know, I ne’er revil’d,

“ And Naught *iniquous* e’er my Heart defil’d.”

Perhaps, your Nicety is offended with the Adjective in *Italics*. — I grant you, that *iniquitous* is the common Word; but is there a Reader who understands this last, to whom the Meaning of *iniquous* can be a Secret? It is not harsh, and you yourself allow it not to be vulgar.

“ Nor Africk’s Sands, nor Scythia gave the Birth,

“ But a compassionate, *benignant* Earth.”

Your Italic Mark of *Reprobation* being put upon Benignant, that, I presume, is the Expression which offends your scrupulous Exactness. We grant, that Benign is the common Term, but why may not benignant, be used as well as malignant; a Word, with whose Meaning, a Friend of yours ought to be well

* But if you *rank* me with the Choir,
Who tun’d with Art the *Grecian* Lyre;
Swift to the noblest Heighths of Fame,
Shall rise thy POETS deathless Name.

† Plan of *Critical Review*.

FRANCIS.

C

acquainted,

acquainted, if, having felt in your own Mind its pernicious Effects, could give him a precise Idea of it.

“ Now * drunk, they blame their Stars, and curse

“ the Maid,

“ — But sober, *deprecate* *whate’er* they said.”

On which, you sagaciously observe, “ That they
“ should curse the Maid in their Drink is very natural ; but how they should afterwards *deprecate*
“ *whate’er* they said, we do not so well conceive.
“ We have heard of a Man’s deprecating the Wrath
“ of Heaven, but ¶ of his deprecating his own
“ Words, we cannot see the Propriety. The Original means no more but that they wished *what*
“ *was done were undone.*” *Profound Interpreter!* how your Learning amazes me? But though I do not *conceive* that I shall be able to let you *see* the *Propriety* of *deprecate*, I dare say, every unprejudiced Person will acknowledge its Propriety, when he is informed, that this Verb, in the Sense I here use it, signifies *to ask Pardon for*, which naturally includes in it, the true Meaning of the Original, viz. *the wishing unsaid*, (*not undone*, as you wisely translate it) what had been said before. Nor is this Meaning of the Word unusual ; for if you will look, either into *Ainsworth*, or Mr. *Johnson’s* Dictionary, you will find that very Signification of *Deprecate*, particularly specified. But these Books, perhaps, are too dull for one of your *soaring*, *Self-taught* Genius! Let me, however, hope, that you will, some Time, or another, penitently *deprecate* *that Wrath of Heaven*,

* In this place, the Translator has contracted four Lines of the Original into two in the Version. A Custom he has constantly observed, where any Thing repugnant to good Manners was described by his Poet.

¶ We will not invidiously seek to wrest the Sense, or misinterpret the Meaning of any Author. Vid. Plan of the Critical Review.

you speak of, for the many, not only *idle*, but *illiberal*, Things, of which you, know yourself to be the Author.

“ *Rome* that shall stretch her irresistible Reign.”

Before this, and some other of the Lines already quoted, you, Sir, with much *Archness*, have put this *emphatical* Criticism, “ Ware Grinders.” It would, Sir, in me, be descending to your own Level, to specify what Treatment *your Grinders* are intitled to, for this most *polite* and *ingenious Annotation*.

Thus, Sir, having too minutely perhaps, confessed your masterly Remarks on my Version, and shewn your Truth and Good-manners, your Learning, and Disinterestedness; has not VANITY some Reason to exult, that such a *Variety of Nothingness*, * has been discovered, where such Earnestness was manifested in the Pursuit? And might not VAIN GLORY be permitted to say with Dr. Young,

Critics on Verse, as Squibs on Triumphs wait,
Proclaim the Glory, and augment the State;
Hot, envious, noisy, proud, the scribbling Fry,
Burn, hiss, and bounce, waste Paper, stink and die.

But, I will suppose, that every Word you have condemned, was barbarous; and that every Line you have selected, was unharmonious, and even false to the Original; should these have induced you, Sir, to disparage a Translation consisting of 2000 Lines, and of an Author too, whom you allow to be *difficult, original, and peculiar*; you, Sir, who at your first setting out professed, “ Never without Reluctance, to disapprove even of a bad Writer, “ who had the least Title † to Indulgence.”

* Critical Review, first Volume.

† Vid. Preface to first Vol. of Critical Review.

A Critic on a Version of *Tibullus*, ought, as far as I can judge, first to establish that Poet's leading, and characteristical Qualities; and then shew by *fair* Quotations, how far his Interpreter has or has not done him Justice. In this Manner, the ingenious Mr. *Spence* criticised *Pope's* Translation of the *Odyssey*. But has your Critique, Sir, on *Tibullus* been conducted in the same manly dispassionate Way? *And yet, you are one of a Sett of Gentlemen who have long observed with Indignation, the Productions of Genius and Dulness, Wit and Impertinence, Learning and Ignorance, applauded without Taste, and condemned without Judgment: And therefore, you promised, not to exhibit a partial and unfair Assemblage of the Blemishes of any Production!*

It is now high Time to consider your Animadversions on my Notes; but I must, first, intreat the Reader's Indulgence, while I make some short Strictures on the indiscriminate, and I think very unmerited Censure, which you have passed upon all our Translations from the *Greek* and *Latin* Poets.

“ The great Fault, we conceive, in all our Translations of the *Latin* and *Greek* Poetry, is a licentious Deviation, not from the Meaning, but from the Figures of the Original. Figures are the Soul of Poetry, which, if the Translator presumes to change, his Work becomes a supposititious Bantling.” *Spiritedly conceived*, and elegantly expressed! From so general a Censure I could not certainly expect to see my little Labours exempted; and indeed, if *Dryden*, *Addison*, *Congreve*, *Garth*, *Pope*, and others, have obtruded on the World *supposititious Bantlings*, it is the less wonderful that I should not be irreproachable on the same Score. But how has our Critical *Drawcansir* made good his unrestricted Charge? Doubtless, by many Proofs from the Versions of these eminent Translators, and especially from that of *Tibullus*? Why truly no! He has
not

not given us one single * Example, unless his singular Conceit of *planting Horace* among the Lyric Poets of *Greece* may be construed as such. Besides, — should not your own Experience in the *French* and *Spanish* have taught you, that the Severity of the *English Language* often rejects many Images much applauded in *France* and *Spain*? At least I can assure you, that there are many bold Figures in *Greek* and *Latin*, which our Mother Tongue dares not naturalize.

Nor does the Severity of the *English Language* only reject some foreign Images, as unfitting to her Manner; but *Decency* likewise, and a *Regard* to the Public, must oblige a Translator, sometimes *wholly to omit*, and sometimes to *alter* the Ideas of his Original. *Tibullus* required much of this *weeding*, which, however otherwise inclined to favour him, I scrupulously performed. If this has offended you, I rejoice in your Displeasure; and that you are offended on this Score, I cannot doubt, when I reflect on what horrid, I had almost said infernal Scenes, one of your Intimates has affronted the Public with in *Peregrine Pickle*.

§ 3. In the Beginning of your Article you compare *Tibullus* as commented on by me, to a *Dutch Fort*, whose Environs are laid under Water. However elegantly this Comparison may be applied by the Poet, from whom you had it, yet, on this Occasion, I must make bold to apply to you, what *Falstaff* said to a much greater Man, *viz. Thou hast the most unfavoury Similes, and art indeed the most comparative, &c. Critic, I ever heard of.* You then politely call the Notes themselves “ a vast Congeries, and a huge
“ heap of learned Lumber, *mostly* taken up in Ex-
“ planations

* The *C. Reviewers* will not presume to decide upon the Merits of a Work, in an arbitrary Sentence, *unsupported by Evidence.* Vide Plan.

“planations of the Heathen Mythology, which may
 “be amusing to Women and Boys.” But good
 Mr. Ware-Grinders Critic, if you had perused my
 Preface with a less disinterested Attention; or had
 understood *Tibullus*, as well as you would make the
 World believe, you must have observed, that few
 Poets of Antiquity require more Mythological Notes,
 to render him intelligible to the *English* Reader.—

The Notes, however, you add, “are taken up
 “with Quotations from the *Greek, Latin, Italian,*
 “and *English* Poets.” Are these Notes also *mostly*
 Mythological? And pray Mr. Hypercritical Dr. is
 not this one of the approved Ways of commenting
 on a Poet? Altho’ the Sources of Imitation are not
 near so copious as Annotators had long imagined,
 and a sameness does, by no Means, in many Cases,
 imply Plagiarism; yet, I have commonly heard
 Men of Taste allow, that they felt Pleasure, in read-
 ing the correspondent Thoughts of different Poets
 on the same Subject. If therefore I have erred in
 this, I have willingly erred; and shall hardly alter
 my Method for all your *redoubtable Ridicule*.

In another Place you call the Notes “Gramma-
 “tical, Critical and Explanatory, borrowed for the
 “greatest Part from *Broekbusius*, the *Dutch* Editor
 “of *Tibullus*.” Many of the meerly philological
 Notes I did take from that Commentator, and put
 his Name to them: For, having been prevailed on
 to print the *Latin*, and preferring, upon the Whole,
 the *Dutchman’s* Text, to every other Editors, was it
 not incumbent on me, to produce his Reasons
 wherever he differed from these? Besides, having
 myself found it necessary to alter the Arrangement
 of some Parts of my Author, was not I obliged
 also, to assign my Reasons for these Alterations? But
 the Notes are also Critical and Explanatory. How
 you came to stumble upon these Epithets I cannot
 guess; you, however, soon explain them, thus, away.

“They

“ They are jumbled together to very little Purpose,
 “ seemingly calculated to display the Translators
 “ reading, *rather than to illustrate the Sense and*
 “ *Beauty of the Original.*” But *most consistent* Sir, I
 must appeal from your very *impartial* Tribunal, to
 that of the Public, to whose Decisions I shall chear-
 fully submit. Only this, I must add, that the Cri-
 tical and Explanatory Notes *are not*, as you assert,
borrowed for the greatest Part, from the Dutchman.

To shew the Importance of my Remarks, you have
 selected three Notes from the first Elegy, and one
 from the Second. I have only to observe, that the
 three first you have been pleased to amputate, they,
 therefore appear mutilated in your Review. The
 other Note is not indeed much mutilated, but is
 misinterpreted; for had you not perused it with that
Candour which you have all along shewn to my
 Work, you, who I am told are so eminent for *sneer-*
ing, must have perceived, *that I forsooth was laughing*
at my good Friend the Dutchman. But supposing
 me as dully serious, as you would willingly represent
 me. I am not a little surprized, that you, of all Men,
 should pretend to find Fault with the Image of
Matulam poscentis? You, who have so often dis-
 tinguished yourself by high-flavoured Jokes, and
 delicate Allusions, acquired, probably, in some such
 favourite Seminary as *the Bloody Bowl in Hang-*
ing Sword Alley. This is so notorious, that any
 Reader of tolerable Sagacity can easily smell you out
 in your *Annals of Literature*, which, for my own
 Part, I generally treat as the witty *Catullus* used the
 Annals of a certain Predecessor of yours called *Vo-*
lufius.

— venite in ignem
 Pleni ruris, et inficetiarum
 Annales *Volusi*, cacata charta.

You

You finish your learned Article with an excellent Precept from *Horace*, which, however meant, I take in good Part. Few People are competent Judges of their own Abilities, and those perhaps least of any, who aspire after any Eminence in Poetry. I did not however, notwithstanding the Badness of Mr. *Dart's* Translation of *Tibullus*, precipitate the Publication of mine. It would have even perhaps still lain in my Scritoire, had not the ingenious and learned Author of the *Rambler*, after a Perusal, advised me to send it to the Press. Neither have I hitherto had any Reason to repent following that Gentleman's Advice: For notwithstanding your violent and early Efforts * to disparage the Work, I have the Satisfaction to know, that it is not condemned by the best Judges. But even if *Minerva* and the *Graces*, as you would insinuate, have not smiled upon my Version, this Consideration I have still left to comfort me, that if I

scribble in *Minerva's* Spite,

There are who judge much worse than I can write.

This is a Truth, of which, from the foregoing Examples, I doubt not every sensible Reader is by this Time thoroughly convinced. Let me therefore, good Dr. *Tobias*, retort the Advice, and assure you, that you have egregiously mistaken your Talents in commencing Critic.

And here you must permit me to borrow a Metaphor from you, and as the *Reservoir* † (an Image of exquisite Propriety!) which *was to dispel those Mists of Obscurity thro' which one People has hitherto beheld another; which was to extend and elevate the Understanding; and to unite the more rational Part of our Fellow Creatures in one social Family:* as this won-

* *Tibullus* was published about the Middle of *December*, and this Criticism came out the first of *January*.

† See Plan of the *Critical Review*.

derful *Reservoir*, I say, has been demonstrated by different Hands, to be chiefly supplied from the muddy Streams of Ignorance, false Taste, Partiality and Malevolence, let me advise you to lay aside the Office of Turn-cock, in which you have been so unsuccessfully active. Be a Romance or Farce Writer, raise Contributions by another Regicide, translate from the *French*, or, *si Dis placet*, murder the *Spanish*. But henceforth, if you have any Shame left, drop the Rod of *Aristarchus*. Neither, on your Demise as a Critic, vainly console yourself with the Imagination of having died a *Martyr to true Taste and Ingenuity*, the Legality * of your Pretensions to either having been fully disproved.

To conclude, I make no Doubt but in the next Number of the above-mentioned *Mist-dispelling Reservoir the Critical Review*, you will modestly consider this *Letter as one of the Testimonies of your Impartiality and Power*: You will also probably variously compound the Terms of *Dunce*, *Poetafter*, *whipt Cur*, and other such Epithets, to which detected Ignorance often has Recourse. But rail on good Dr. *Tobias*, and welcome. Do any thing except praising me, as I intirely join in Opinion with Mr. *Pope*, when he observes that,

Of all mad Creatures, if the Learn'd are right,
It is the Slaver kills, and not the Bite :
A Fool quite angry is quite innocent :
Alas! 'tis ten times worse when they repent.

* Preface to the Critical Review.

I have been thinking of you very much lately, and wondering how you are getting on. I hope you are well and happy. I have been very busy lately, but I have managed to find some time to write you. I have been thinking of you very much lately, and wondering how you are getting on. I hope you are well and happy. I have been very busy lately, but I have managed to find some time to write you.

The first of these is the fact that the
 Government has been unable to secure
 the necessary funds to carry out its
 policy of non-interference. This is
 due to the fact that the Government
 has been unable to secure the necessary
 funds to carry out its policy of non-
 interference. This is due to the fact
 that the Government has been unable
 to secure the necessary funds to carry
 out its policy of non-interference.

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

1944

C

21 11 1 3

